How to Choose the Right Investment Advisor

Finding a reliable investment advisor (IA) can be challenging. While many advisors act in good faith, some may have undisclosed conflicts of interest. Protecting yourself requires asking the right questions, reading disclosures carefully, and understanding how advisors really get paid.

Below are key points, questions, and red flags you should know before hiring an advisor.

First Things First: Verify the Advisor

- Use the **Investment Adviser Public Disclosure (IAPD)** search: https://adviserinfo.sec.gov
- Use the **Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA)** Broker Check search: https://brokercheck.finra.org
- Use the **Certified Financial Planner (CFP)** search: https://www.cfp.net/verify-a-cfp-professional
- Check the advisor's background, license status, disciplinary history, and their **Form ADV**, including brochure disclosures, the mandatory disclosure document updated annually with fees and potential conflicts.

Questions You Should Ask an Investment Advisor

- Will you always promise to put my interests first? Do you have any conflicts — like earning commissions or bonuses — that could influence your advice? If so, please explain.
- How are you compensated, and are there any fees or commissions that may not be explicitly detailed on my account statements?
- Do you have any literature dealing with your past investment results for your clients? How does that compare with the results of other IAs?
- How will you create or adjust my investment portfolio for my **age and risk tolerance**? Who will make the portfolio decisions?
- Will you be able to purchase and sell in my account without first discussing it with me?
- How often will you meet with me to review my plan?
- What **benchmark** do you use to measure success?

- Can you assist with **estate planning, taxes, and beneficiary designations**?
- May I designate a **trusted contact** in case of elder abuse concerns or cognitive decline?
- If you have papers for me to sign, may I take them home to read before signing?

Questions the Advisor May Ask You

- What are your **financial goals** (income, legacy, giving, etc.)?
- What assets, liabilities, and income do you have?
- What health issues or long-term care needs might affect your finances?
- Who are your designated beneficiaries and heirs?
- What is your **risk tolerance**, on a scale from 1–10?
- What is your knowledge of stocks, bonds, annuities, or other investments?
- Who else helps you make financial decisions?
- What worries you most about your finances?

Common Conflicts of Interest to Watch For

- **Compensation Conflicts**: advisors earning commissions, asset-based fees, or hidden payments.
- **Proprietary Products**: recommending in-house mutual funds or affiliate products that earn them more.
- **Dual Roles**: acting as both broker and advisor, pushing trades that benefit the firm.
- **Sub-Accounts and Sub-Advisers**: hidden layers of fees or referral rebates by farming out investment decision making to a third-party.
- **Insurance Products**: Sale of high-commission annuities or insurance policies when lower-cost alternatives are available.
- **Reciprocal Business Deals**: relationships with third-parties influencing recommendations.
- **Trade Allocation Issues**: certain clients favored over others in investment opportunities.

- **Soft-Dollar Arrangements**: research perks to the IA from a third-party paid for by your commissions.

Practical Ways to Protect Your Assets

- **Get everything in writing** — including how you're charged and if they're legally required to act in your best interest.
- **Work with a fee-only advisor** — they charge for advice, not product sales.
- **Use independent custodians** like Vanguard Funds, Fidelity Investments, or Charles Schwab, Inc. to hold your assets.
- **Read all documents before signing** — take them home and review carefully. Consider using trusted tools, including artificial intelligence-based services, to help simplify and understand complex investment information.
- **Ask direct questions to the IA about fiduciary duty** — and walk away if the answer is vague or evasive.

Comparing Fee-Only to Fee-Based and Commission Models

Fee-only advisors are legally obligated to act in the client's best interest at all times, providing a higher level of fiduciary duty compared to other compensation models. Selecting a fee-only investment advisor emphasizes transparency, objectivity, and fiduciary responsibility; however, it may have trade-offs compared to commission-based or hybrid models. Many investors consider splitting their portfolio to minimize fees, but this tactic has practical and ethical implications that can affect the advisor-client relationship.

Fee-Only Advisor: Main Benefits

- **Objectivity and Transparency:** Fee-only advisors are paid directly by clients, not through commissions from product sales, making their advice generally more unbiased.
- **Fiduciary Duty:** Most fee-only advisors are fiduciaries and legally required to act in the client's best interest, reducing conflicts of interest.
- **Clear Pricing:** Fees—typically hourly, or flat—are straightforward, so clients can track costs easily**.** Their fee structure clearly aligns their success with clients' financial outcomes, reducing the risk of hidden conflicts.

Drawbacks of Fee-Only Model

- **Higher Upfront Costs:** Fee-only services may carry higher direct fees than commission-based ones, particularly for smaller portfolios or low-transaction accounts.
- **Limited Product Access:** These advisors may not sell proprietary or insurance products, potentially requiring clients to coordinate with third parties for some needs.
- **No Guaranteed Excellence:** Fee-only advisors focus on objective advice; as with any professional, verifying their expertise and credentials is important.
- **Possible Scope Limits:** Some states restrict fee-only advisors from certain insurance consultations or product reviews.

Splitting Your Portfolio: "Mirroring" Strategy

- Some clients consider handing only part of their portfolio to an advisor, mirroring transactions themselves for the rest, hoping to save on fee costs, especially the typical 1% assets-under-management (AUM) charge.
- **Potential Issues:**
    - Most advisors will ask about total investable assets for risk assessment, tax planning, and suitability regulations.
    - If mirroring is uncovered, advisors may view it as a lack of trust or unwillingness to fully engage, which could strain relationships or prompt the advisor to decline working with such clients.
    - The advisor's fiduciary or regulatory obligations may require a holistic view of your finances to provide compliant advice; splitting information can limit their effectiveness.

A Real Case Example

I served as the Chairman of an arbitration panel hearing a case against an investment advisor. The high-school educated claimant sold his family business for $25 million sought "conservative" advice and was promised a simple 1% annual fee. In reality, he was placed in sub-accounts with hidden fees and speculative investments. Some investments included warnings that the entire principal could be lost. The advisor secretly received rebates, and true costs only came out once the arbitration panel requested a full accounting.

Lesson: Do not rely on verbal promises. Always document fees and risks in writing before investing.

The Investment Advisory Game

"Who should you trust for investment advice? ... Surely they'd be investing their own money in their ideas ... and ideally their wealth would derive more from their own personal investing returns [rather] than from selling their ideas to people like you." [Bloomberg - Money Stuff, 5/22/19, "Who should you trust for investment advice?"] "Most people on Wall Street don't make money by investing—they make money by helping others invest, charging a hefty fee for their service. Warren Buffett called these people the 'helpers,' and warned investors to avoid them at all costs. The reason: very few people have the skill to beat the market. … The helpers, of course, are well aware of this. So, in order to try to be one of the chosen few who beat the market, they take more risk, swinging for more home runs instead of more reliable singles and doubles. That's what can lead to big losses…. The lesson: Stay away from money managers who charge hefty fees for complex strategies." [NextBigIdeaClub, 6/12/23, "Chaos Kings: How Wall Street Traders Make Billions in the New Age of Crisis"] "[W]hile many people believe that the essential skill of being a hedge fund manager is picking good investments, in fact the essential skill is continuing to run a large hedge fund that pays them a lot of money. ... Building a robust institution with high fees, loyal investors and long lockups is a deeper and more fundamental skill than picking the right stocks." [Bloomberg - Money Stuff, 7/2/20, "Farewell John Paulson"] "[A]s funds get bigger, their income from management fees, which is based on the amount of assets they have, grows. That gives managers fewer incentives to improve performance." [Wall Street Journal, 12/8/20, "Some Small Hedge Funds Reap Big Gains in Tough Times...."] "Fund investors… [have a] chronic compulsion to chase hot performance and flee when it goes cold. Such buy-high-and-sell-low behavior tends to flood fund managers with cash at times when stocks have already risen in price—and to force the funds to sell stocks after a decline. The managers can perform only as well as their worst investors allow them to. … If fund managers could stick to only their best ideas, they might do better. But owning just a handful of stocks could create tax and regulatory headaches—and would expose the managers to massive withdrawals (and loss of fees) if returns faltered. So most portfolio managers own too many stocks to focus on their best ideas…. " [Wall Street Journal, 4/14/23, "Want to Beat the Stock Market? … Professional fund managers labor under handicaps that individual investors don't face. …"]

For those who wish to learn more, I recommend *How to Steal A Lot of Money Legally -- Clueless Crooks Go to Jail, Savvy Swindlers Go to Vail* by Edward Siedle. "There is absolutely nothing worse you can do to abuse clients that the guys on Wall Street haven't already done/disclosed/gotten away with -- legally." One is amazed by a system that permits fiduciaries to utilize excessive and unnecessary fees to enrich themselves and their friends at the expense of those who mistakenly think they are protected by honorable people. A better argument for learning investment self-defense, and making informed decisions for yourself, has not been written.

AI as Your Second Opinion: Smarter Investing with Less Fee Drain

Kim Kamando, the goddess of all things technological, suggests using artificial intelligence to assess the quality of service received from one's current investment advisor.

> With AI peeking over my financial shoulder like a nosy aunt, I'm in deep. I'm dissecting my holdings, side-eyeing expense ratios and even questioning my financial adviser like I suddenly have a CFA. And I've uncovered a few big mistakes and money I was paying out that I did not know anything about. Shame on me.
>
> You might not have the best mix of investments, and sneaky fees could be quietly draining your money. Do what I did. Use AI to get a second opinion, safely and easily.
>
> **Step 1: Grab the basics**
>
> Log in to your … brokerage account and save in a doc. **Tip in a tip:** In Chrome, put doc.new in the address bar to start a new Google doc. In that document, put together a list that includes:
>
> - The names or ticker symbols of your investments
> - The percentage you have in each fund
> - Any listed fees or "expense ratios"
>
> Be smart. That's all you need. **Never share account numbers, dollar amounts tied to your name or login info.** AI doesn't need them.
>
> **Step 2: Ask AI what it thinks**
>
> Head to ChatGPT (or your favorite AI assistant) and type a prompt something like: *Here are the funds in my [account]. Does this look like a good mix for someone who's 50 years old?*"
>
> You'll get a plain-English breakdown of your risk level, diversification and what your investments actually do. You can also ask your AI assistant to ask you questions about your current financial status and how to reach your money goals.
>
> **Step 3: Look for sneaky fees**
>
> Fees are a silent killer. Ask AI: "*What are the expense ratios for these funds, and how much would they cost me over 10 years on $100,000 invested?*"
>
> AI will show how those "small" fees can cost you tens of thousands over time.

Step 4: Double-check advice

If your financial adviser recommends a change, paste in the suggestion (without your personal info): "*My adviser recommends switching to [fund name]. What are the pros and cons of that fund?*"

AI won't tell you what to do, but it will help you ask better questions.

Two dos and one don't

- It's OK to give AI a rough investment amount (like $100,000). It helps estimate fees and growth.
- Don't give your account number, login info or personal details.
- Ask AI to explain complex terms (like "expense ratio or "target-date fund") in plain English or say "like I'm in 8th grade."

Why do investors often stay loyal to financial advisors with conflicts of interest, even when lower-cost, conflict-free advisors are available?

The answer lies less in rational financial analysis and more in the psychology of decision-making. People stick with advisors they know, even if there are better choices, because change feels risky. They trust the advisor like a friend, don't want to admit mistakes, or focus more on past wins than hidden problems.

- **Status Quo and Familiarity**

Most people favor what is already familiar, even when better options exist. If an advisor has "done well" in the past, clients feel comfortable continuing the relationship. Switching feels uncertain and risky, even when the alternative is more transparent and cost-effective.

- **Cognitive Dissonance**

Admitting a current advisor may be conflicted requires admitting a past mistake. To avoid this discomfort, clients rationalize: "My advisor has been good to me." This preserves self-image consistency but prevents objective change.

- **Outcome Bias**

Investors judge decisions by results, not by process. If portfolios have grown, they attribute success to the advisor, even if performance could have been higher without conflicts of interest. Positive outcomes mask hidden inefficiencies.

- **Trust and Relationship Value**

Advisors often serve as more than financial guides—they are confidants and trusted figures. That sense of loyalty and personal connection outweighs technical arguments about fees or conflicts.

- **Hidden Costs and Inattentional Blindness**

The price of conflicts can be invisible. Investors rarely know how much compromised recommendations reduce returns over time. In contrast, trust and familiarity are easy to “see,” so the invisible costs go unnoticed.

- **Loss Aversion**

Psychologically, people fear losses more than they value gains. The idea of leaving a known advisor creates anxiety about losing results or security. Remaining with the current advisor feels safer than facing the unknown.

Choosing Between Vanguard Funds, Fidelity Investments, Charles Schwab and Independent Money Managers: Key Considerations

Choosing between Vanguard, Fidelity, Schwab, or independent money managers involves weighing advantages across cost, service, and flexibility. Vanguard is often favored for its industry-leading low fees and client-owned structure that aligns its interests closely with investors, making it ideal for cost-conscious, long-term investors seeking straightforward, fiduciary advice. Fidelity offers a vast product selection and advanced digital tools, appealing to investors seeking personalized service and a wide array of active and passive investment options, though often at a higher cost. Schwab strikes a balance with competitive fees, strong technology platforms, and flexible advisory services, catering well to investors who want both low costs and sophisticated trading tools. Independent money managers provide highly customizable and often more personalized portfolio management tailored to unique financial goals but may charge higher fees and create potential conflicts of interest if compensation depends on asset gathering rather than pure fiduciary duty. Ultimately, investors should consider their priorities in fees, service level, product choice, and trust transparency when choosing their financial partner.

Feature	Vanguard	Fidelity	Schwab
Cost	Lowest fees with Admiral Shares	Competitive, varies by product	Low to moderate, competitive ETFs/funds
Advisory Fees	~0.30% for core advisory	~0.30%-0.50% typical	0% (robo) to ~0.50% (human) advisory fees
Investment Options	Focus on proprietary low-cost funds	Wide range active/passive	Wide range active/passive, direct indexing
Technology	Basic digital tools; solid	Robust digital and research tools	Strong digital platforms, trading tools
Fiduciary Standard	Fee-only fiduciary model	Fiduciary depending on service	Fiduciary standard on advisory accounts
Best For	Cost-conscious long-term investors	Investors desiring choice & advice	Investors wanting low cost + tech + flexibility

---

Final Takeaway

- **Check** your advisor's background carefully. Not every advisor has the same skills or experience, so make sure they're right for you.
- Always **ask the hard questions**.
- Always **work with a fee-only fiduciary**.
- Always **trust but verify** by carefully reviewing Form ADV, including the brochure disclosures.

If you take these steps, you'll strengthen your financial self-defense and ensure that any investment advisor you work with is truly working in your best interest.

DISCLAIMER: The information presented on this website was obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but its accuracy and completeness and any opinions based thereon cannot be guaranteed. It is presented for general interest and educational purposes. It should **not** be interpreted as: (a) advice; (b) recommendation; or, (c) analysis. Those seeking such advice should consult with their personal advisors. The opinions, findings and/or conclusions expressed herein are not necessarily politically-correct and are subject to change without notice. Past results should not be interpreted as a guaranty of future performance.

Law Offices of
LES GREENBERG
LGreenberg@LGEsquire.com

INVESTMENT LITIGATION/ARBITRATION,
SHADOW COUNSEL AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES

9/17/25