Alternatives – ADR Briefs (November 2008), published by the International Institute for Conflict Prevention & Resolution and John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Excerpts from that article are as follows:

NEW SECURITIES ADR PILOT LAUNCHES, ALLOWING INDUSTRY ARBITRATOR REMOVAL

A program that addresses criticisms about the fairness of securities arbitration processes kicked off successfully last month, with a solid initial response from disgruntled investors seeking to opt into the pilot processes.

Officials at the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority Inc. are cautious in their early assessments....

...

Securities dispute resolution systems have been criticized for years for the alleged coziness of industry arbitrators with the broker-dealers defendants in cases where individual and business customers complain about the way their accounts were handled. ...

The pilot program allows plaintiffs to remove all non-public, industry arbitrators from their cases. Critics still say it is too little, and not fast enough. ...

. . .

The purpose will be to see whether parties spend more hearing time with experts in the absence of the industry panelist.

Les Greenberg, a Culver City, Calif., attorney who has been a critic of the securities industry dispute resolution practices, is concerned about the assessment and the focus on experts. "The stated criteria indicate that Finra recognizes that 'non-public' arbitrators on the panels act as quasi-expert witnesses to the 'public' members of the arbitration panels," he writes in an E-mail to *Alternatives*. "Finra may wish to continue the present procedure of allowing 'non-public' arbitrators to convey information privately to the other arbitrators without affording the parties the knowledge of that information or the ability to challenge its accuracy."